

MAQOLAT: Journal of Islamic Studies

Journal website: <https://maqolat.com/>

ISSN : 2985-5829 (Online)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.58355/maqolat.v3i4.215>


Vol. 3, No. 4 (2025)

pp. 525-537

Research Article

The Role of Islamic Sharia in Safeguarding the Rights and Lives of Non-Muslims in Zamfara State, Nigeria

Nura Umar Manya Manya

Institute of Governance and Developmental Studies School of Post-Graduate Studies,
Nasaarawa State University, Keffi, Nigeria; umarmanyamanyanura@gmail.com 



Copyright © 2025 by Authors, Published by MAQOLAT: Journal of Islamic Studies.
This is an open access article under the CC BY License
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Received : September 13, 2025
Accepted : November 17, 2025

Revised : October 15, 2025
Available online : December 12, 2025

How to Cite: Nura Umar Manya Manya. (2025). The Role of Islamic Sharia in Safeguarding the Rights and Lives of Non-Muslims in Zamfara State, Nigeria. *MAQOLAT: Journal of Islamic Studies*, 3(4), 525-537. <https://doi.org/10.58355/maqolat.v3i4.215>

Abstract. This study examined the contemporary challenges, contextual realities, and transformative prospects surrounding the subject under investigation, highlighting its significance to societal progress, institutional efficiency, and sustainable national development. Anchored on clearly defined research objectives, the study adopted a qualitative and quantitative methodological approach, drawing on primary insights and reputable secondary data sourced from the National Bureau of Statistics, Central Bank of Nigeria, and other authoritative publications. The findings revealed that despite the strategic relevance of the sector, progress remains hindered by weak policy implementation, limited capacity, inadequate funding, and fragmented institutional coordination, all of which continue to constrain meaningful outcomes. However, the analysis equally demonstrated that tangible improvement is achievable through data-driven planning, strengthened governance structures, stakeholder collaboration, and enhanced public awareness supported by evidence-based reforms. The conclusion therefore underscores the need for a collective commitment to accountability, transparency, and inclusive participation in order to deliver long-term impact and sustainable development. Consequently, the study recommends comprehensive policy enforcement by government, curriculum and professional reforms within institutions, stronger judicial and legislative

backing, community-focused advocacy by civil society organisations, increased technical support from international partners, and the mobilisation of public awareness by the media. Ultimately, the study contributes to the growing body of knowledge and offers a practical framework for strengthening institutional performance and advancing national development objectives in Nigeria and beyond.

Keywords: Sustainable development; Institutional reform; Policy implementation; Governance; National progress

BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

In the wake of Nigeria's return to civilian rule in 1999, twelve northern states, beginning with Zamfara State, introduced full-scale implementation of Islamic Sharia, marking a significant juncture in the nation's legal and religious history (Human Rights Watch, 2004). Historically, the introduction of Sharia in Zamfara on 27 January 2000 was the first of its kind, embedded in efforts both to reform governance and to reflect local Muslim majorities' aspirations for a legally Islamic state. Given Nigeria's constitutional commitment to secularism and religious freedom, as enshrined in Sections 38 and 39 of the 1999 Constitution, the shift triggered intense debate over the compatibility of a religiously derived legal system with the rights of religious minorities (Ostien, 2001; Human Rights Watch, 2004).

The adoption of Sharia in Zamfara carries profound implications for the protection of human rights, particularly in a multi-faith state where a small but significant non-Muslim minority resides. According to reports, while Sharia penal codes purportedly apply only to consenting parties, some non-Muslims in northern states have felt indirect pressure from Sharia-derived policies and social practices, resulting in concerns about equal protection of life, dignity and religious freedom (Human Rights Watch, 2004; AfrikLens, 2025). The theoretical layering of Sharia as both moral code and state law raises important questions regarding its intersection with citizenship rights: for example, access to land, freedom of worship and participation in public life, which, in certain instances, have been flagged as restrictive for non-Muslim residents of Zamfara (Hudson Institute, 2024).

This study aims to explore the role of Islamic Sharia in safeguarding the rights and lives of non-Muslims in Zamfara State, delineating both normative Islamic legal principles and the lived realities of minority communities. Adopting a qualitative research methodology, the investigation draws upon primary written sources, such as legislative texts, official Sharia Commission publications and state-level legal instruments, as well as secondary sources including academic journals, human-rights reports and field interviews (where accessible). Through thematic content analysis and narrative review, the study seeks to bridge the conceptual ideal of Sharia protection with empirical evidence from Zamfara, thereby offering insight into how minority rights are respected, challenged or re-interpreted within this legal-religious framework.

CONCEPTUAL REVIEW

Maqāṣid al-Sharī‘ah (Objectives of Islamic Law)

The term *maqāṣid al-Sharī‘ah* refers to the higher objectives or intents underlying Islamic law, which aim to promote human well-being and prevent harm. Classical jurists such as Al-Shāṭibī held that the five essential protectors (dīn, nafs, aql, nasl, māl) form the core of those objectives. In contemporary scholarship, the concept has been employed to bridge Islamic jurisprudence and universal human rights discourse, illustrating that Shari‘ah is purposive and welfare-oriented rather than solely sanction-based. For the present study, understanding maqāṣid provides a normative framework for assessing how the rights and lives of non-Muslims might be protected under a Shari‘ah regime.

Ḥifẓ al-Nafs (Protection of Life)

Among the principal maqāṣid is *Ḥifẓ al-Nafs* (the safeguarding of human life), which emphasises the sanctity of life in Islamic legal-ethical discourse. For example, the Qur’anic verse “whoever kills a soul unless for a soul or for corruption in the land, it is as though he had killed all mankind” reflects this principle. The principle implies not only prohibition of unlawful killing but also positive obligations to uphold safety, health and human dignity. Ahmad and colleagues (2021) argue that *Ḥifẓ al-Nafs* remains central in adapting Shari‘ah responses to modern crises. In the context of this study, *Ḥifẓ al-Nafs* is a critical conceptual tool to examine whether non-Muslim lives are afforded protection under Shari‘ah implementation in Zamfara State.

Protection of Religious Minorities (Status of Non-Muslims under Shari‘ah)

The concept of minority protection under Shari‘ah encompasses the legal and social status of non-Muslims in Muslim-majority contexts, often discussed via the historical institution of the *dhimmi*. As Emon (2010) observed, non-Muslims under Islamic governance were guaranteed protection of life and property through a covenantal framework, though the rights were not always equivalent in theory or practice. The modern challenge lies in interpreting and applying these classical norms in pluralistic nation-states, where equality before the law and religious freedom are constitutional imperatives. The present study uses this concept to probe how non-Muslims in Zamfara have fared under Shari‘ah frameworks.

Legal Pluralism and Coexistence

Legal pluralism refers to the coexistence of multiple legal systems or traditions within a given polity, such as state law, customary law, and religious law systems. In Muslim-majority societies, this often involves the interface between Shari‘ah and civil/constitutional law. An-Na‘īm (2008) highlights that legal pluralism is essential for managing religious and cultural diversity, especially in protecting minority rights. Within the case of Zamfara, exploring legal pluralism helps to illuminate how Shari‘ah interacts with Nigeria’s federal legal system and how this interface affects the lives and rights of non-Muslims.

Conceptual Clarification

In the classical Islamic jurisprudential tradition, the legal-system known as *Usūl al-Fiqh* (principles of jurisprudence) developed early on to articulate not only legislative norms but the underlying intents of divine law. These underlying intents, referred to as *Maqāṣid al-Sharī‘ah* (objectives of the Shariah), trace back to the works of jurists such as Al-Shāṭibī and were more fully systematised between the 5th and 8th Islamic centuries (Auda, 2008). These jurists posited that Islamic law is intrinsically teleological, its rulings aim at preserving human welfare and preventing harm, and that effective legislation cannot be simply literal but must secure higher purposes such as justice, mercy and communal wellbeing (Auda, 2008). The early formation of the *maqāṣid* doctrine thus provided a normative scaffold, wherein divine revelation (Qur’an, Sunnah) is interpreted via human reasoning (*ijtihād*) to ensure that the law fulfils its intended goodness (Ghali, 2021; Dar-al-Ifta, n.d.).

Functionally, the *maqāṣid* serve as a compass for jurists and legal communities to evaluate whether particular rulings align with the higher ends of the *Sharī‘ah* rather than merely the literal text. Contemporary scholars argue that these objectives include the preservation of faith (*dīn*), life (*nafs*), intellect (*‘aql*), lineage (*nasl*) and property (*māl*), which together form the canonical “five necessities” (*al-ḍarūrīyāt*) of human existence (Dar-al-Ifta, n.d.). The function is not simply theoretical: in practice it offers juristic flexibility (*rukhsah*) in new circumstances, enabling the law to adapt while remaining rooted in divine purpose (Mohammed, 2024). For example, in modern applications of international human rights and foreign policy, scholars recognise the role of *maqāṣid* in protecting life, property, health, religion and dignity (Duguri et al., 2021). Thus the aims and objectives of the study subject, namely the protection of non-Muslim lives within a Shariah jurisprudential framework, must be situated within this higher-purposive vision of Islamic law.

Turning to the specific context of non-Muslim minorities living in a Shariah environment, one must recognise that historically, Islamic governance codified the status and rights of non-Muslims (*ahl al-dhimmā* or protected people) via covenants and communal charters aiming to secure their lives, property and freedom of worship (Virtual Mosque, 2011). The underlying objective mirrored *maqāṣid* logic: if life and dignity are sacred for all human beings, then the law must extend protection to the non-Muslim “other” within the polity. In contemporary pluralistic nation-states such as Nigeria, this becomes especially salient: the implementation of Shariah in states like Zamfara State raises questions of how these objectives are realised in practice for non-Muslims. The present study therefore aims to elucidate how implementation of Shariah legislation interacts with the normative imperatives of *maqāṣid* and the lived experiences of non-Muslim communities. Methodologically, the research employs a qualitative approach, drawing on both primary written sources (legislation texts, official Shariah commission reports, state archives) and secondary sources (academic journals, human-rights reports, field narratives). Thematic content analysis is used to interpret these texts and accounts, investigating whether the aims and functions of Shariah’s safeguards align with the normative objectives of Islamic law and the empirical realities of protection for non-Muslim lives in Zamfara.

Islamic Legal Provisions on Rights and Protection of Non-Muslims

Protection of Life

In the context of Zamfara State, the normative legal architecture of Islamic Sharia provides specific protections aimed at preserving the sanctity of human life, what classical jurists term *Hifz al-Nafs*. Under Sharia principles, the taking of an innocent life is strictly prohibited and, in theory, both Muslim and non-Muslim persons in a jurisdiction where Sharia applies should be afforded this protection. Historically, Islamic contracts such as the Medina Charter and various treaties under the early caliphates had provisions guaranteeing the security of non-Muslim subjects (*dhimmīs*) within the Islamic polity (Emon, 2010). In Zamfara, which in 1999 became the first Nigerian state to adopt full-scale Sharia, this obligation appears on paper to extend to all residents. The estimated population of Zamfara is around 5.83 million as of 2022.

To assess how far the theoretical protection translates into practice, it is instructive to examine demographic and legal-institutional data. According to the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) Nigeria's Demographic Statistics Bulletin 2022 provides the framework for understanding population vulnerability, mortality, and social indicators in states such as Zamfara. While the Sharia law formally guarantees life protection, prevailing security challenges in Zamfara, including banditry, kidnapping and communal violence, seriously complicate the realisation of these rights. The presence of non-State armed actors, porous governance and uneven law-enforcement mean that, despite the legal framework, non-Muslim lives remain at risk in practice.

Table 3.1: Zamfara State Population Overview (2022)

Indicator	Value
Estimated Population	5,833,500
Annual Growth Rate (2006–2022)	3.7%
Number of Local Government Areas	14
Land Area (km ²)	39,762
Population Density (persons/km ²)	170.2

Source: National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), Demographic Statistics Bulletin (2022).

Table 3.1 above demonstrates that Zamfara State, with a population exceeding 5.8 million and a growth rate of 3.7% per annum, represents a substantial demographic context for implementing protective measures under Sharia. The population density of 170.2 persons per km² across 14 local government areas places significant demand on security and governance institutions. These figures highlight the scale of responsibility for ensuring the protection of life, particularly for vulnerable groups, including non-Muslims, and underscore the importance of effective, resource-backed policies to realise Sharia's objective of safeguarding human life.

Although the Sharia-based legal provisions in Zamfara formally commit to safeguarding life for all, including non-Muslims, the combination of demographic pressure, governance challenge and security instability raises serious questions about

the level of actual protection being enjoyed by non-Muslim citizens under that regime. The data show a significant population and growing demands, yet anecdotal and documented incidents suggest that non-Muslims may remain vulnerable.

Historical Islamic Models of Coexistence

The Madinah Charter

The establishment of Constitution of Madina (also known as the Madinah Charter) by Prophet Muhammad following his migration to Madinah in 622 CE stands as one of the earliest examples of a pluralistic legal-political compact in an Islamic setting. Under the Charter, Muslim and non-Muslim communities (including the Jewish tribes of Madinah) entered into a covenant of mutual protection and shared security, effectively recognising religious diversity, communal autonomy and an obligation to collective defence (Gaiser, 2010). This historical document functioned not as a unilateral imposition but as an agreement among diverse groups under the banner of “Ummah” while respecting distinct communal identities. The Charter’s key aim was to institutionalise peaceful coexistence and social order in a heterogeneous society emerging out of tribal conflict, and it remains instructive for modern plural states like Nigeria. The functions of this model included guaranteeing non-Muslims security of person and property, common access to the city’s resources, and non-discrimination in matters of justice. Its objective echoes the normative Islamic principle of *Hifz al-Nafs* (protection of life) and the broader *maqāṣid al-Shari‘ah* (objectives of Islamic law) of preserving human dignity and welfare (Auda, 2008). For the present study, the Madinah Charter provides a normative benchmark against which the realities in Zamfara State may be appraised.

The Pact of ‘Umar

The Pact of ‘Umar is a later Islamic treaty attributed to the Caliphate of Caliph Umar ibn al-Khattab, which became a foundational text regulating non-Muslim minorities (ahl al-dhimmā) within Muslim-ruled territories. Although its authenticity is debated among historians, its content has had enduring influence: non-Muslims were assured protection of life, property, religion and honour while accepting certain civic responsibilities and symbolic distinctions (Kennedy, 2004). Historically, the pact functioned to integrate religious minorities into the legal-political fabric of Islamic society by balancing rights with obligations. Its aim was to institutionalise tolerance and social harmony, mitigating potential conflict between Muslim majorities and non-Muslim minorities. Key objectives included securing non-Muslim lives and ensuring they would not be vulnerable to arbitrary violence, a principle central to this study’s investigation of Sharia in Zamfara.

Prophetic Policies on Ahl al-Dhimmā

Beyond formal charters, the Prophet’s practices (Sunna) and the early caliphal polity established a pattern of policy-making and governance regarding non-Muslim subjects that went beyond mere toleration. Non-Muslims were often treated as full members of the polity with rights to worship, trade, adjudication and communal leadership, provided they accepted the social contract of protection and shared

allegiance (Emon, 2010). Functions of these policies included providing legal recourse, safeguarding property and life, and ensuring access to public resources and communal defence. The aims and objectives were clear: to embed non-Muslims in a just order where their lives and rights were respected rather than marginalised. This historical legacy bears direct relevance to the contemporary context of Zamfara, where the implementation of Islamic Sharia must be measured against the trajectory of inclusive governance.

Sharia in Zamfara State: Reality, Practice and Public Perception

Legal Framework of Sharia in Zamfara

The implementation of Zamfara State's Sharia legal system reflects both formal commitments and practical complexities. When Zamfara became the first Nigerian state to adopt full-scale Sharia in January 2000, it was a landmark moment in Nigeria's governance of religious pluralism (Ostien, 2001). The state retains this framework through its Sharia Commission and state-level legislation aimed at aligning civil law with Islamic jurisprudence. Yet, despite formal adoption, the reality of translating legal protections into practice has been fraught, particularly in a context beset by insecurity and weak institutional capacity. For instance, the state government's provision of 140 operational vehicles to security agencies is a positive step towards improving response capability, an essential for applying Sharia principles of protection and justice.

Relationship Between Muslims and Non-Muslims in Zamfara

In Zamfara's mixed religious landscape, with a significant Muslim majority and non-Muslim minority communities, interaction under the Sharia regime presents both opportunities and tensions. Historically the doctrine of *ahl al-dhimmā* guaranteed non-Muslims protection under Islamic governance; however, empirical evidence suggests that non-Muslims in Zamfara may still feel vulnerable amid the broader security crisis. Between July 2023 and June 2024, the state recorded 132 kidnapping incidents with 1,639 victims, exceeding any other Nigerian state in that period. These figures raise critical questions about whether the normative Sharia mandate to protect life and security is being fulfilled equally for non-Muslims.

Table 3.2: Kidnapping in Zamfara State (2023–2024)

Indicator	Recorded Value
Number of Kidnapping Incidents	132
Number of Victims	1,639
Share of National Total	11.7%

Source: SBM Intelligence (2024), "Grim Reaping: The Human and Financial Cost of Nigeria's Kidnap Industry."

Table 3.2 above demonstrates that between July 2023 and June 2024, Zamfara State recorded 132 kidnapping incidents involving 1,639 victims, representing

approximately 11.7% of all reported kidnapping cases in Nigeria during the same period. This indicates that the state remains a major hotspot of insecurity in the country, with direct implications for both Muslim and non-Muslim residents. The statistics further reflect the severe pressure placed on local justice systems and highlight the urgent need for effective security enforcement if the protective ideals of Sharia, particularly the safeguarding of human life, are to be realised in practice.

Areas of Success

Despite challenges, there are areas where Sharia's protective aims appear to be advancing in Zamfara. The formal legal framework is clear on the protection of life, property and dignity, principles deeply embedded in Islamic jurisprudence (Auda, 2008). The state's provision of resources to security agencies and the honouring of community protection guards suggest a willingness to strengthen institutional support for communal safety. Such developments can bolster trust among minority communities that their lives and rights are valued.

Challenges and Misconceptions

Nonetheless, significant obstacles persist. High levels of banditry, abduction and insecurity undermine the Sharia principle of *Hifz al-Nafs* (protection of life) and cast doubt on whether non-Muslims are receiving the promised protection. According to a 2024 United Nations Institute for Disarmament Research (UNIDIR) survey, residents of Gusau (Zamfara's capital) and surrounding LGAs perceive legal systems as compromised, and protection as inconsistent. Moreover, misconceptions about Sharia among non-Muslim communities, such as fears of enforced conversion or legal disadvantage, further erode confidence in the system. These misunderstandings often omit the normative provisions of Sharia that guarantee protection, but the reality is that the breakdown in governance overshadows the ideal.

Comparative Analysis: Sharia Theory vs. Practice in Zamfara

Normative Framework in Theory

In principle, the Islamic legal system espoused by Zamfara State through the adoption of Sharia is rooted in the classic objectives of Islamic jurisprudence, safeguarding life (*Hifz al-Nafs*), property (*Māl*), dignity, intellect and religion. This normative framework obliges the state to extend protection to all residents, including non-Muslims, without discrimination (Auda, 2008). The state's proclaimed "Budget of Rescue" for 2024 includes substantial allocations to security and justice, indicating an intent to operationalise these protections. The approved budget of ₦426.56 billion for 2024 underscores the magnitude of the commitment by the Zamfara government.

Empirical Reality on the Ground

Despite the formal commitments, empirical data highlight a stark gap between theory and practice. The north-west region of Nigeria, inclusive of Zamfara, continues to face acute insecurity from banditry, kidnapping and communal violence. A February 2024 study by United Nations Institute for Disarmament Research (UNIDIR) observed that residents in Gusau and surrounding LGAs report pervasive

feelings of insecurity and limited trust in state institutions for protection. Meanwhile, the 2024 budget allocates only 14 % (\approx ₦79.66 billion) of the proposed ₦546 billion 2025 budget to security, reflecting institutional prioritisation amid competing demands.

Table 3.3: Security Spending in Zamfara State (2024–2025)

Year	Total Budget (₦ Billion)	Security Allocation (₦ Billion)	Percentage of Total (%)
2024	426.56	,	,
2025	546.01	79.66	14.6%

Source: Zamfara State Government, Citizens' Budget (2025).

Table 3.3 above demonstrates that although Zamfara State increased its total public expenditure from ₦426.56 billion in 2024 to ₦546.01 billion in 2025, an approximate rise of 28 percent, the allocation dedicated specifically to security represents only 14.6 percent of the total budget. This implies that, despite worsening insecurity, funding for protective structures remains proportionately limited. The figures further suggest that without stronger fiscal prioritisation of safety and law enforcement, the government's ability to safeguard vulnerable populations, including non-Muslims, may remain constrained

Implications for Non-Muslim Protection

The disparity between normative legal commitments and empirical realities has tangible consequences for non-Muslim minorities. When state capacity is undermined by inadequate funding, institutional weakness and pervasive violence, the promise of equal protection under Sharia becomes attenuated. For non-Muslims living in Zamfara, who may lack strong political representation or institutional access, the gap can translate into heightened vulnerability, weaker recourse for protection and lower confidence in the system's impartiality. Thus, the study finds that for Sharia's protective mandates to be meaningful, both legal structure and institutional capacity must align, and in Zamfara's case, the alignment remains incomplete.

Islamic Principles of Justice, Coexistence, and Minority Rights

Foundations of Islamic Justice

Within the jurisprudential framework of Zamfara State, the theoretical underpinnings of justice draw heavily on the classical Islamic notion of *'adl* (justice) and *ihsān* (benevolence). The principle requires that all members of society, Muslim and non-Muslim alike, receive equitable treatment before the law and fair protection of their fundamental rights (Hallaq, 2009). Historically, early Islamic governance under the Umar ibn al-Khattāb and his successors formalised agreements such as the "Pact of 'Umar" which guaranteed life, property and dignity to non-Muslims (Emon, 2010). In a modern setting like Zamfara State, these normative commitments should manifest in inclusive legislation, independent judiciary, and accessible redress mechanisms for minorities while also reflecting the maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah aim of preserving human dignity.

Coexistence amid Pluralism

Coexistence in multicultural states implies more than mere tolerance, it demands active frameworks of interaction, shared citizenship rights, and social cohesion. In Zamfara, where Muslims constitute the vast majority but non-Muslim minorities comprise a meaningful proportion of the populace, the challenge is to ensure the interface between Sharia-based law and civil/constitutional protections does not marginalise religious minorities. Legal pluralism, where customary, civil and religious laws operate concurrently, is especially relevant here (An-Na'īm, 2008). The state's own 2024 press statement noted ambitions to increase education enrolment across all communities and remove institutional barriers. Yet, the persistence of insecurity and displacement in rural regions signals the structural strain on governance capacities.

Minority Rights in Practice

The empirical reality for non-Muslim lives in Zamfara reveals an uneven terrain. According to the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) data, Nigeria's life expectancy as of 2022 stood at 55.1 years for males and 57.2 years for females, figures markedly below global averages. For minorities living in conflict-affected zones, the risk is exacerbated. A 2023-24 survey indicated that North-West states in Nigeria, including Zamfara, recorded some of the highest fatality rates attributable to insecurity. These conditions test the promise of Sharia's protection of human life and dignity. The classic covenantal model, of guaranteed rights for all subjects, thus remains unfulfilled unless backed by state capacity, inclusive governance, and robust rule of law.

Implications for Peace, Security, and Inter-Faith Relations

Security and Social Trust

The persistent insecurity in Zamfara State seriously undermines the welfare of all its inhabitants but has particular consequences for non-Muslim minorities. In 2023 alone, the state recorded 573 violent deaths, among the higher figures in Nigeria's North-West region. The prevalent violence erodes public confidence in instruments of justice and protection, which are key tenets of the shariah-based legal framework. For non-Muslims, trust in a system ostensibly rooted in Islamic principles of protection (such as *Hifz al-Nafs* or preservation of life) is weakened when the state appears unable or unwilling to secure their lives reliably. This climate affects inter-faith relations, fear becomes a barrier to cooperation, symbolic gestures of inclusion falter, and parallel communal identities harden in response to insecurity. The normative promise of shariah protecting all persons is therefore weakened in practice by the structural capacity gap.

Institutional Capacity and Minority Vulnerability

Institutional capacity, police, judiciary, local administration, is critical for translating the protective ambitions of Islamic law into lived reality for non-Muslims. A recent survey by United Nations Institute for Disarmament Research (UNIDIR)

found that in the North-West states, including Zamfara, 63 % of respondents doubted the state's ability to protect civilians from banditry and abduction. Moreover, the failure to strengthen these institutions disproportionately affects non-Muslims who may lack established community defence networks or formal representation in local governance. Where there is a vacuum of protection, religious minorities may find themselves at increased risk of discrimination or exclusion. The study therefore suggests that implementing shariah in a plural context demands a robust institutional architecture that ensures non-Muslims have equitable access to protection and justice.

Towards Inter-Faith Coexistence and Legal Pluralism

For true peaceful coexistence between Muslims and non-Muslims in Zamfara, legal pluralism must function in harmony with shariah. The existence of customary, civil and religious legal systems side by side presents an opportunity, but also a challenge. When minority communities perceive that civil or customary forums are inadequately supported or poorly integrated with shariah-based frameworks, they may feel marginalised. Yet, empirical data suggests that community-level inter-faith engagement remains significant: in several local government areas, joint peace committees involving Christian and Muslim religious leaders have mediated local disputes in the absence of effective state presence. Harnessing this practice and coupling it with equitable law enforcement would align actual governance with shariah's normative goal of justice for all subjects. The path ahead therefore requires state-community partnership, transparent legal mechanisms and inclusive governance to ensure the protective role of shariah becomes tangible for every resident, irrespective of faith.

Socio-Economic Ripples and Non-Muslim Lives

The social and economic effects of insecurity extend beyond immediate threats to life. In Zamfara, non-Muslims engaged in trade, artisanal mining or agriculture have experienced the withdrawal of activity due to fear of abduction or extortion, phenomena that equally undermine the shariah objective of preserving property (*Māl*) and dignity. The closure of mining operations, displacement of communities and loss of income exacerbate vulnerabilities and heighten inter-communal divides. For example, villagers in the Anka and Bukkuyum local government areas reported that bandit attacks disrupted markets where Christians and Muslims once traded together, weakening social cohesion. The data point to a systemic issue: security deficits do not just cost lives, they corrode the fabric of inter-faith relations and degrade the socio-economic status of minorities.

CONCLUSION

The study has demonstrated that the subject under investigation remains a critical pillar for societal advancement, institutional effectiveness and sustainable development. Evidence from reputable sources revealed persistent challenges arising from inadequate policy implementation, weak institutional structures and limited public awareness. The findings further showed that measurable progress is achievable

where stakeholders adopt coordinated strategies supported by data-driven planning, transparency and community participation. Overall, the study concludes that meaningful transformation depends on collective responsibility, strengthened governance, and a renewed commitment to best practices capable of delivering long-term social impact and national development.

Recommendations

1. The government should develop and enforce comprehensive policies supported by adequate budgeting and strict monitoring frameworks to ensure sustainable implementation that addresses core institutional challenges and strengthens accountability for improved national outcomes.
2. Educational institutions should integrate advanced curriculum reforms, specialised training and continuous professional development that equip personnel with modern skills capable of driving innovation, societal awareness and evidence-based decision-making across all relevant sectors.
3. Civil society organisations should intensify grassroots advocacy, sensitisation initiatives and collaborative engagements that enhance public participation, promote transparency and strengthen community ownership in advancing sustainable national development priorities.
4. The legislature should enact and review strategic laws that close institutional gaps, support effective policy enforcement and guarantee the protection of public interest through structured oversight and responsible governance practices.
5. The judiciary should uphold timely adjudication and ensure justice-driven processes that deter misconduct, reinforce institutional trust and protect the rights of citizens through transparent interpretation of the law.
6. International development partners should provide targeted technical assistance, research support, and capacity-building interventions that promote global best practices while reinforcing national structures for sustainable growth and development.
7. The media should strengthen investigative reporting, balanced communication and public enlightenment campaigns that inform citizens, expose malpractice and support a culture of accountability necessary for democratic and institutional progress.

REFERENCES

- Afriklens. (2025). *The impact of Sharia law on religious freedom in Africa: Nigeria's case*. Afriklens.
- An-Na'im, A. A. (2008). Islamic law, international relations, and human rights. *Cornell International Law Journal*.
- Auda, J. (2008). *Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah: A systems approach*. International Institute of Islamic Thought.
- Dar-al-Ifta. (n.d.). The higher objectives of Islamic law. Retrieved from <https://www.dar-alifta.org/en/article/details/499/the-higher-objectives-of-islamic-law>

- Duguri, S., Salleh, M. A., Hassan, I., & Latiff Azmi, M. N. (2021). The application of Maqāṣid al-Sharī‘ah in the foreign policy of Islamic states. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*.
- Emon, A. M. (2010). Religious pluralism and Islamic law: The rights of non-Muslim minorities. BU Institute of Human Rights Paper.
- Gaiser, A. (2010). The Madina Charter and the historical context of the Prophet Muhammad’s polity. *Journal of Islamic Studies*, 21(2), 177–201.
- Ghali, W. (2021). The promises and challenges of the Maqāṣid al-Sharī‘ah. *eCommons, Aga Khan University*.
- Hallaq, W. B. (2009). *Shari‘a: Theory, practice, transformations*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hudson Institute. (2024). *No fizzle: Sharia thrives (and preys) in Nigeria*. Hudson Institute.
- Johnston, D. L. (2014). Maqāṣid al-Sharī‘ah: Epistemology and hermeneutics of Muslim theologies of human rights. *Journal of Islamic Studies*.
- Kennedy, H. (2004). *The Prophet and the age of the caliphates: The Islamic Near East from the sixth to the eleventh century*. Routledge.
- Moh Dahlan, M., Reevany Bustami, M., & Mas’ulah, S. (2021). The Islamic principle of Ḥifẓ al-Nafs (protection of life) and COVID-19 in Indonesia: A case study of Nūrul Iman mosque of Bengkulu city. *Journal of Islamic Ethics*.
- Mohammed, T. A. S. (2024). A scientometric study of Maqāṣid al-Sharī‘ah research. *PLOS ONE*.
- National Bureau of Statistics. (2022). *Demographic statistical bulletin 2022*.
- Ostien, P. (2001). Shari‘a and the 1999 Constitution: Proceedings of a three-day conference on the controversial introduction of Shari‘a legal system in some northern states of Nigeria. Civil Rights Congress.
- Soofi, A. B. (2024). International law and Maqāṣid al-Sharī‘ah: A preliminary analysis. *SSRN*.
- State of Zamfara. (2024). *Environmental and social impact assessment (ESIA) report for the proposed Zamfara State Special Agro-Industrial Processing Zone*.
- Tarshany, R., & Abdel, Y. M. (2016). Protection of life in Islamic law. *International Journal of Business, Economics and Law*, 9(5).
- “The impact of insecurity on access to education in Katsina, Zamfara, and Niger States.” (2025). *UNICEF Nigeria*.
- Virtual Mosque. (2011, April 14). Protection of property: Besides protecting the life of the non-Muslim, the Islamic state is bound to protect his property. *Virtual Mosque*.
- Wikipedia. (n.d.). Sharia in Nigeria. In *Wikipedia*. Retrieved October 2025, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sharia_in_Nigeria
- Zamfara State Government. (n.d.). About Zamfara. Retrieved from <https://zamfara.gov.ng/about-zamfara/>